

REMARKS

The Applicant has received the Final Office Action dated July 14, 2004. The Applicant appreciates the Examiner removing the rejections related to claims 15-16 and 1-22 relative to 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 (per Paragraph 13 of the Office Action).

Regarding the remaining issues, the Applicant will address them in the order provided in the Office Action.

Specification

The Applicant apparently incorrectly attempted to move the hyperlink in the response filed April 23, 2004. After reading section 608.01 of the MPEP, the proposed amendment lacking "<>" or "http://" identifiers to www.rds.org.uk/rds98/rds98.htm without constituting a hyperlink. This is believed to be a proper reference to a website without creating an imbedded hyperlink. However, if this is still an improper reference, the Patent Office may alter this reference to alternatively identify the website while removing the hyperlink in another manner.

Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 2-11 and 13-18 and 20-24 remain rejected subject to double patenting rejections which all include rejections based on co-owned U.S. Patent No. 6,625,464. The applicant is enclosing a Terminal Disclaimer which should successfully overcome these rejections.

Conclusion

A Terminal Disclaimer with the appropriate fee is enclosed herewith and claims 2-11, 13 and 23-24 are now believed to be allowable. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 17, 2004

By: 

Stephen J. Stark
Attorney for Applicant
MILLER & MARTIN LLP
Suite 1000 Volunteer Building
832 Georgia Avenue
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402
(423) 756.6600

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

RECEIVED

SEP 23 2004

Mail Stop Fee Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Technology Center 2600

on this 17th day of September, 2004.

By:

Beverly L. Middleton
Beverly L. Middleton

